DOCUMENT RESUME

ED 032 633

EA 002 517

Grade Organization Patterns. ERS Reporter.

American Association of School Administrators. Washington, D.C.: National Education Association, Washington, D.C.

Pub Date Nov 68

Note-25p.

Available from Educational Research Service. 1201 Sixteenth Street. N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036 (1-5 copies free additional \$0.25 each).

EDRS Price MF-\$0.25 HC Not Available from EDRS.

Descriptors - Educational Trends. • Grade Organization. • Junior High Schools. • Middle Schools. • National

Surveys. Public Schools. School Districts. School Policy

Information regarding grade organization patterns in 449 of the 515 school districts enrolling 12.000 or more pupils is reported in this national survey, which repeated a similar survey made in 1963. Results show that the predominant plan is that which follows the 6-3-3 pattern. Of interest are the four percent rise in the 4-4-4 or 5-3-4 middle school plan and the six percent rise in the 6-2-4 plan since the 1963 study was completed. When instructional and grouping practices of the surveyed districts are considered, however, some of the middle schools in the data may not qualify as bona fide "middle schools." No real conclusion can be drawn from this study on the questions of whether the junior high school is on the way out or whether the middle school is on the march. (LN)



ERS

REPORTER

EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH SERVICE 1201 Sixteenth Street, N.W., Washington, D. C. 2

American Association of School Administrators and NEA Research Division

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARE OFFICE OF EDUCATION

November 1968

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

GRADE ORGANIZATION PATTERNS

Is the grade 7-9 junior high school really "on the way out"? Are middle schools "on the march"? Discussion of such questions in recent professional journals and the growing number of requests which the Educational Research Service has received recently for information on trends in grade organization patterns prompted ERS to again survey local school systems regarding their predominant grade organization pattern. ERS conducted a similar survey in 1963, which was also issued as an ERS Reporter.

The data in this Reporter were obtained from a postal card questionnaire (see page 25) sent in August 1968 to the 515 school districts enrolling 12,000 or more pupils. Unlike the 1963 survey, this mailing included not only unified school districts but also separate elementary and high school districts. Replies received from 449 of the systems were distributed as follows:

Enrollment strata	Ques. sent	Replies received
Stratum 1 (100,000 or more)	25	22 (88%)
Stratum 2 (50,000 to 99,999)	54	51 (94%)
Stratum 3 (25,000 to 49,999)	90	88 (98%)
Stratum 4 (12,000 to 24,999)	<u>346</u>	288 (83%)
	515	449 (87%)

By comparison, the 1963 survey received a 94 percent response with 344 school unified systems participating.

The 449 school systems responding in the current survey are listed in the table beginning on page 5. Reported for each system is its predominant grade organization pattern in 1968-69, changes completed or initiated since 1963-64, changes under consideration for the future, and the number of middle schools in 1968-69. For clarity, grades not within the scope of operation of elementary or high school districts have been enclosed in parentheses in Columns 2, 3 and 4.

ERS REPORTER is prepared as one of several special services for subscribers to the Educational Research Service. Subscribers may request up to five copies for which there will be no charge. Additional copies may be purchased at 25¢ per copy. Inquiries regarding subscriptions to the Service (\$80 a year) should be sent to the above address.



Grade organization patterns, 1968-69. As can be seen from Summary Table A, which is based on Column 2 of the system-by-system table, in 305 systems, or 67.9 percent of the 449 systems, the predominant plan is 6-3-3; 71.2 percent of the 344 systems reported this pattern in 1963. The 8-4, 7-5, and 6-6 plans also show slightly smaller percentages than in 1963. However, the 6-2-4 plan, reported by 5.8 percent of the 1963 survey group, is now the predominant plan in 11.4 percent of the responding systems. Slightly over 4 percent of the 1968 survey participants operate on the 4-4-4 or 5-3-4 middle school plan, as compared to less than one percent in 1963.

Fifteen systems in the current survey reported two patterns exist in their districts or simply stated that no predominant pattern exists. A few of these systems are in the process of transition from one plan to another.

Although the questionnaire did not ask respondents to indicate whether or not kindergartens are operated in their districts, this information is included in Column 2 since it was available as a result of an ERS survey of entrance age policies for the school year 1968-69. Of the 449 systems in this grade organization survey, nearly 70 percent operate kindergartens.

Table A

SUMMARY: GRADE ORGANIZATION PATTERNS IN 449 SCHOOL SYSTEMS, 1968-69

Grade organiza- tion patterns	Stratum 1	Stratum 2	Stratum 3	Stratum 4	Totals
1	2	3	4	5	6
6-3-3	17 (77.3%)	36 (70.6%)	61 (69.3%)	191 (66.3%)	305 (67.9%)
6-2-4	1 (4.5%)	4 (7.8%)	7 (7.9%)	39 (13.6%)	51 (11.4%)
8-4	3 (13.7%)	6 (11.8%)	10 (11.4%)	20 (6.9%)	39 (8.7%)
5-3-4	•••	2 (3.9%)	2 (2.3%)	14 (4.9%)	18 (4.0%)
7–5	1 (4.5%)	•••	3 (3.4%)	2 (0.7%)	6 (1.3%)
6-6	•••	1 (2.0%)	•••	5 (1.8%)	6 (1.3%)
6-2-2-2	•••	• • •	•••	4 (1.4%)	4 (0.9%)
7-2-3	5 • •	•••	2 (2.3%)	• • •	2 (0.5%)
4-4-4	• • •	• • •	• • •	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.2%)
6-4-2	• • •	• • •	• • •	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.2%)
6-2-1-3	• • •	• • •	• • •	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.2%)
No predominant pattern	• • •	2 (3.9%)	3 (3.4%)	10 (3.5%)	15 (3.4%)
TOTALS	22(100.0%)	51(100.0%)	88(100.0%)	288(100.0%)	449(100.0%)



Table B
SUMMARY: CHANGES IN GRADE ORGANIZATION
PATTERNS SINCE 1963-64

TO		ber of			
FROM	6-3-3	5-3-4	6-2-4	Other	Totals
6-3-3	•••	10	7	4 <u>a</u> /	21
5-3-4	•••	• • •	1	•••	1
6-2-4	6	2		•••	8
8-4	7	1	1	•••	9
7-5	•••	• • •	2	• • •	2
6-6	1	• • •	1	•••	2
No pre- dominant pattern	2	1	1	1 <u>b</u> /	5
TOTALS	16	14	13	5	48

a/ Includes 3 systems adopting 6-2-2-2
and 1 system adopting 4-4-4.

Trends. Forty-eight of the 449 responding systems reported that a change had taken place within the past five years in their grade organization In most cases this changepatterns. over was completed within the fiveyear period. Others are currently in transition from one pattern to another. Table B, summarizing Column 3 of the system-by-system table, shows the direction of the grade organization changes in the 48 systems in the period from September 1963 to September 1968. As can be seen from the table, 29 systems adopted a plan of organization which includes a six-grade elementary school followed by either a three-year or a two-year junior high

school or converted from one junior high school plan to another. No system reported adopting the 8-4 plan of organization. Notable, too, is the fact that 15 systems adopted a plan of middle school organization (5-3-4 or 4-4-4).

Table C, on page 4, summarizing grade organization changes under consideration reported by 93 systems in Column 4, bears witness to the growing trend toward middle schools. Sixty-seven systems are considering or have definite plans for a middle school organizational pattern (65 in column 4 and 2 in column 5), but only 22 systems are considering a 6-3-3 or 6-2-4 plan. None of the systems with a middle school organizational pattern reported that a change is under consideration.

One can only speculate about the reasons for the recent and contemplated changes--overcrowding, integration plans, the philosophical approach of the middle school, redistricting--since the questionnaire did not request this information.

<u>Middle schools</u>. The number of middle schools reported by the individual school systems is shown in Column 5 of the system-by-system table. In requesting this information, ERS defined middle schools as "separate intermediate schools combining one or more elementary grades below grade 7 with one or more grades usually in the junior high school." Seventy systems reported a total of 235 schools with grade organizations fitting this definition. Systems which have adopted or are in the process of transition to the 4-4-4 or 5-3-4 plan operated 89 of these schools. An-



b/ One system adopted 6-2-1-3

other 88 middle schools are in 16 systems considering one of the middle school plans, including 56 schools in New York City.

It is doubtful, however, that all of the 235 schools qualify as bona fide "middle" schools when such factors as the instructional and grouping practices are considered. Many are actually called junior high schools of intermediate schools. The reader is cautioned that only a complete survey of each of the 235 schools could reveal whether they are middle schools in philosophy and practice. Further investigation might significantly reduce the number.

<u>Summary</u>. Among the school systems with 12,000 or more enrollment, there is no doubt that the predominant grade organization pat-

Table C
SUMMARY: GRADE ORGANIZATION CHANGES
UNDER CONSIDERATION

TO	Numb	er of s	ystems	conside	ering:
FROM	6-3-3	6-2-4	4-4-4 or 5-3-4	Other	Totals
1	2	3	4	5	6
6-3-3	•••	7	44	2 <u>a</u> / 2 <u>b</u> /	53
6-2-4	3	• • •	6	2 <u>b</u> /	11
8-4	5	3	11	••• ,	19
7-5	•••	1	•••	1 <u>c</u> /	2
6-6	•••	•••	2	•••	2
6-2-2-2	•••	•••	•••	1 <u>d</u> /	1
No pre- dominant pattern	3	•••	2	•••	5
TOTALS	11	11	65	6	93

- a/ Includes one system considering 8-4 and one considering 4-3-2-3.
- b/ Includes 2 systems considering 3-3-2-4
- c/ System considering 7-2-3
- d/ System considering 5-3-2-2

tern is, and for some years will probably continue to be, the 6-3-3 plan, although there are slight increases in the adoption of the 6-2-4 and 5-3-4 patterns.

The 8-4 plan is found in decreasing numbers of these larger systems. No systems reported adopting the 8-4 plan in the last five years, and 10 systems abandoned it. Only one system is considering adoption of the 8-4 plan, while 19 systems are considering its abandonment.

Is the junior high school "on the way out"? This survey provides no conclusive evidence of this.

Are middle schools "on the march"? Several years must pass before this question can be answered with any degree of certainty. It is quite clear, however, that interest in middle school programs is rapidly increasing.

Permission to reproduce this copyrighted work has been granted to the Educational Resources Information Center (ERIC) and to the organization operating under contract with the Office of Education to reproduce documents included in the ERIC system by means of microfiche only, but this right is not conferred to any users of the microfiche received from the ERIC Document Reproduction Service. Further reproduction of any part requires permission of the copyright owner.

PROCESS WITH MICROFICHE AND PUBLISHER'S PRICES.
MICROFICHE REPRODUCTION ONLY.



GRADE ORGANIZATION PATTERNS IN 449 SCHOOL SYSTEMS, 1968-69

School system	Predominant grade organization	Change since 1963-64 3	Change under consideration	Number of middle schools
	1	000 OR MORE (22 -		
STRA	rum 1Enrollment 100	,000 OR MORE (22 S	ystems)	
LOS ANGELES, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
SAN DIEGO, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
WASHINGTON, D. C.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
DADE COUNTY, FLA. (Miami)	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	5
DUVAL COUNTY, FLA, (Jacksonville)	6-3-3		• • •	• • •
ATLANTA, GA., city schools	K-7-5	• • •	• • •	1
CHICAGO, ILL.	K-8-4	• • •.	• • •	• • •
INDIANAPOLIS, IND.	K-8-4	• • •	To K-5-3-4	• • •
NEW ORLEANS, LA.	K-6-3-3		To K-5-3-4	• • •
BALTIMORE, MD., city schools	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
BALTIMORE COUNTY, MD. (Towson)	к-6-3-3			• • •
MONTGOMERY COUNTY, MD. (Rockville)	K-6-3-3		• • •	1 (2 in 1969-7
PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY, MD. (Upper Marlboro)	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
DETROIT, MICH.	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
ST. LOUIS, MO.	K-8-4		• • •	3
NEW YORK, N. Y.	K-6-3-3	• • •	To K-5-3-4	56
CLEVELAND, OHIO	к-6-3-3		• • •	• • •
COLUMBUS, OHIO	K-6-3-3			
MEMPHIS, TENN., city schools	6-3-3			• • •
HOUSTON, TEXAS	K-6-3-3			• • •
FAIRFAX COUNTY, VA. (Fairfax)	K-6-2-4		• • •	• • •
MILWAUKEE, WIS.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
STRA	TUM 2ENROLLMENT 50;	,000 TO 99,999 (51	systems)	
BIRMINGHAM, ALA., city schools	8-4			
JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALA. (Birmingham)	6-3-3		• • • -	2

School system	Predominant grade organization 2	Change since 1963-64 3	Change under consideration	Number of middle schools
<u>.</u>				
	STRATUM 2 SCHOOL	SYSTEMS (Continued)		
MOBILE, ALA. (city and county schools)	No predominant pattern (see Col. 3)	Began move from 6-3-3 to 5-3-4 in 1966	See col. 3	1
TUCSON, ARIZ.	6-2-4	• • •	• • •	• • •
FRESNO, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
GARDEN GROVE, CALIF.	K-6-2-4	• • •	• • •	6
LONG BEACH, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
OAKLAND, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
SACRAMENTO, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
SAN JUAN SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (Carmichael)	K-6-2-4	• • •		
DENVER, COLO.	K-6-3-3		• • •	• • •
JEFFERSON COUNTY, COLO. (Lakewood)	K-6-3-3	• • •		
BROWARD COUNTY, FLA. (Ft. Lauderdale)	6-3-3	• • •	To 5-3-4	2 (6 in 1969-70)
HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY, FLA. (Tampa)	6-3-3	• • •	To 4-4-4	
ORANGE COUNTY, FLA. (Orlando)	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLA. (West Palm Beach)	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
PINELLAS COUNTY, FLA. (Clearwater)	K-6-3-3		• • •	
POLK COUNTY, FLA. (Bartow)	6-3-3			1
WICHITA, KANS.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
JEFFERSON COUNTY, KY. (Louisville)	6-6	• • •	• • •	
LOUISVILLE, KY., city schools	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
CADDO PARISH, LA. (Shreveport)	к-6-3-3		• • •	
EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH, LA. (Baton Rouge)	6-3-3	• • •		



School system	Predominant grade organization	1963-64	Change under consideration	Number of middle schools
1	2	3	4	5
	STRATIM 2 SCHOOL	SYSTEMS (Continued)		
IPPEPPON DADICH TA		bibians (continued)		
JEFFERSON PARISH, LA. (Gretna)	5-3-4	From 6-3-3 in 1967	• • •	5
ANNE ARUNDEL COUNTY, MD. (Annapolis)	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
BOSTON, MASS.	к-6-3-3	• • •	To K=5-3-4	3
MINNEAPOLIS, MINN.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
CANSAS CITY, MO.	K-6-2-4	From no predomi- nant pattern	• • •	• • •
MAHA, NEBR.	K-6-3-3	Completed tran- sition from 8-4		• • •
LARK COUNTY, NEV. (Las legas)	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
EWARK, N. J.	K-8-4	• • •	To K-4-4-4	• • •
LBUQUERQUE, N. MEX.	6-3-3	• • •	To 5-3-4	3
UFFALO, N. Y.	K-8-4	Transition to K-6-3-3 dropped; now moving to K-4-4-4	See col. 3	1
HARLOTTE-MECKLENBURG SCHOOL				
ISTRICT, N. C. (Charlotte)	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
KRON, OHIO	K-6-3-3	• • •	To middle schools	• • •
INCINNATI, OHIO	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
AYTON, OHIO	K-8-4	• • •	• • •	4
OLEDO, OHIO	K-8-4	• • •	To K-5-3-4	• • •
CLAHOMA CITY, OKLA.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
JLSA, OKLA.	K-6-3-3	• • •	0 0	• • •,
ORTLAND, OREG.	K-8-4	• • •	To K-6-2-4	3
ITTSBURGH, PA.	K-6-2-4 and K-6-6	• • •	To K-5-3-4	2
ETROPOLITAN SCHOOL SYSTEM, ASHVILLE, TENN.	6-3-3	From 6-2-4 in 1965	• • •	• • •
PASO, TEXAS	K-5-3-4	• • •	• • •	2

ERIC AFUIL TEAST PROVIDED BY

School system	Predominant grade organization	Change since 1963-64	Change under consideration	Number of middle school
1	2	3	4	5
	STRATUM 2 SCHOOL S	YSTEMS (Continued)		
FORT WORTH, TEXAS	K-6-3-3		To K-5-3-4	4 (20 in 1969)
SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS	6-3-3	• • •	To 5-3-4	• • •
GRANITE SCHOOL DISTRICT, UTAH (Salt Lake City)	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
NORFOLK, VA.	6∞3-3		• • •	3 • •
SEATTLE, WASH.	K-6-3-3	• • •	To middle schools	• • •
KANAWHA COUNTY, W. VA. (Charleston)	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
				4
STRAT	um 3enrollment 19.6	00 TO 49,999 (88	systems)	
NUNTSVILLE, ALA., city schools	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
ONTGOMERY, ALA., city and county schools	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
PHOENIX, ARIZUnion High School District	(K-8) -4		• • •	• • •
SCOTTSDALE SCHOOL DISTRICT, ARIZ. (Phoenix)	K-8-4	• • •	To middle schools	• • •
NAHEIM, CALIF UNION HIGH CHOOL DISTRICT	(K-6) -3-3			
REMONT, CALIF.	K-6-2-4	• • •	• • •	• • •
•	K-6-2-4	• • •	• • •	• • •
AYWARD, CALIF.		0 •	• • •	• • •
ONTEBELLO, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •	To K-4-4-4	• • •
T. DIABLO SCHOOL DISTRICT, ALIF. (Concord)	K-6-2-4		To K-6-3-3	• • •
EWPORT-MESA SCHOOL DISTRICT, ALIF. (Newport Beach)	K-6-2-4	Unified in	To K-5-3-4	• • • .
ORWALK-LA MIRADA SCHOOL ISTRICT, CALIF. (Norwalk)	K-6-2-4	• • •	• • •	• • •
ASADENA, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• •	
ICHMOND, CALIF.	K-6-2-4	From K-6-3-3 in 1966	• • •	• • •
IVERSIDE, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	, 0 • •	To K-6-2-4	

School system	Predominant grade organization	Change since 1963-64		Number of middle schools
1	<u>2</u>	3	44	5
	STRATUM 3 SCHOOL	SYSTEMS (Continued)		
SAN BERNARDINO, CALIF.	K=6-3-3	• • •		• • •
SAN JOSE, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
SANTA ANA, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
STOCKTON, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
TORRANCE, CALIF.	K-8-4	• • •	• • •	• • •
COLORADO SPRINGS, COLO.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
PUEBLO, COLO.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
BRIDGEPORT, CONN.	K-8-4	• • •	To K-5-3-4	1
				(4 more planned)
HARTFORD, CONN.	K-8-4	• • •	To K-6-2-4 in 1971	• • •
ESCAMBIA COUNTY, FLA. (Pensacola)	6-3-3	• • •	To 5-3-4	
VOLUSIA COUNTY, FLA. (DeLand)	v 6 2 2			
•	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
BIBB COUNTY, GA. (Macon)	7-2-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
COBB COUNTY, GA. (Marietta)	6-3-3	Completed tran- sition from 8-4	• • •	• • •
FULTON COUNTY, GA. (Atlanta)	7 - 5	• • •	• • •	
MUSCOGEE COUNTY, GA. (Columbus)	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	3
RICHMOND COUNTY, GA. (Augusta)	7-2-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
SAVANNAH-CHATHAM COUNTY, GA.	•		•	
(Savannah)	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
PEORIA, ILL.	K-6-3-3 and K-8-4	• • •	To K-5-3-4 or K-4-4-4 in 1970-71	• • •
ROCKFORD, ILL.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
EVANSVILLE-VANDERBURGH SCHOOL CORPORATION, IND. (Evansville)	K-8-4			
•		• • •	• • •	• • •
FORT WAYNE, IND.	K-6-3-3	• • •	To K-4-3-2-3	• • •
GARY, IND.	K-6-3-3	From no predom- inant plan	• • •	2
SOUTH BEND, IND.	K-8-4	• • •	To K-6-3-3	• • •



School system	Predominant grade organization 2	Change since 1963-64 3	Change under consideration	Number of middle school 5
11				
	STRATUM 3 SCHOOL S	YSTEMS (Continued)		
DES MOINES, IOWA	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
KANSAS CITY, KANS.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
AYETTE COUNTY, KY. (Lexington)	6-3-3	• • •	To middle schools	• • •
CALCASIEU PARISH, LA. (Lake Charles)	6-3-3		• • •	2
RAPIDES PARISH, LA. (Alexandria)	6-3-3	• • •	To 6-2-4	• • •
SPRINGFIELD, MASS.	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
WORCESTER, MASS.	к-6-3-3	• • •	To K-5-3-4	• • •
FLINT, MICH.	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
GRAND RAPIDS, MICH.	к-6-3-3	• • •	To K-5-3-4	1
LANSING, MICH.	K-6-3-3	• • •	To middle schools	• • •
LIVONIA, MICH.	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
ROBBINSDALE, MINN.	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
ST. PAUL, MINN.	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
JACKSON, MISS.	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
LINCOLN, NEBR.	K -6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
WASHOE COUNTY, NEV. (Reno)	K-6-3-3	• • •	To K-5-3-4 or K-4-4-4	• • •
JERSEY CITY, N. J.	K-8-4	• • •	• • •	• • •
ROCHESTER, N. Y.	K-6-2-4 and K-6-6	From K-7-5	To K-3-3-2-4	• • •
SYRACUSE, N. Y.	K-6-3-3	• • •	To continuous progress plan	
YONKERS, N. Y.	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
CUMBERLAND COUNTY, N. C. (Fayetteville)	8-4		• • •	. • •
GREENSBORO, N. C., city schoo	1s 6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
WINSTON-SALEM/FORSYTH COUNTY, N. C. (Winston-Salem)	6-3-3			• • •

School system	Predominant grade organization 2	Change since 1963-64 33	Change under consideration	Number of middle schools
	STRATUM 3 SCHOOL S	YSTEMS (Continued)		
YOUNGSTOWN, OHIO	к-6-3-3	• • •	To middle schools	• • •
PROVIDENCE, R. I.	K-6-3-3		To K-4-4-4	2
CHATTANOOGA, TENN., city schools	6-3-3			1
HAMILTON COUNTY, TENN. (Chattanooga)	6-3-3			
KNOXVILLE, TENN., city schools	6-3-3	• • •		• • •
SHELBY COUNTY, TENN. (Memphis)	8-4	• • •		
AMARILLO, TEXAS	6-3-3	• • •		• • •
AUSTIN, TEXAS	6-3-3	• • •	To 6-2-4	3
CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS	6-3-3	• • •		• • •
ECTOR COUNTY, TEXAS (Odessa)	6-3-3			• • •
LUBBOCK, TEXAS	6-3-3			
Pasadena, Texas	5-3-4	From 6-3-3 in 1964	• • •	7
SPRING BRANCH SCHOOL DISTRICT, TEXAS (Houston)	K-5-3-4			6
DAVIS COUNTY, UTAH (Farmington)	K-6-3-3			1
SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH	K-6-3-3		• • •	
ARLINGTON COUNTY, VA. (Arlington)	K-6-3-3			• • •
CHESAPEAKE, VA.	6-2-4	• • •	• • •	
HAMPTON, VA.	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
HENRICO COUNTY, VA. (Richmond)	6-3-3 and 6-6	• • •	• • •	• • •
NEWPORT NEWS, VA.	7-5		To 6-2-4	
RICHMOND, VA., city schools	K-6-3-3		• • •	
VIRGINIA BEACH, VA.	7-5	• • •	To 7-2-3	
EDMONDS SCHOOL DISTRICT, WASH. (Lynnwood)	к-6-3-3	• • •		
HIGHLINE SCHOOL DISTRICT, WASH. (Seattle)	K -6-3- 3			,

AGE ERIC Trovided by ERIC

School system	Predominant grade organization 2	Change since 1963-64 3	Change under consideration	Number of middle schools
	STRATUM 3 SCHOOL S	YSIEMS (Continued)		
spokane, wash.	6-3-3	• • •		• • •
PACOMA, WASH.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
MADISON, WIS.	K-6-3-3	• • •	To K-5-3-4	• • •
RACINE, WIS.	K-6-3~3 	• • •	• • •	• • •
STRAT	UM 4ENROLLMENT 12,0	00 TO 24,999 (288	systems)	
BALDWIN COUNTY, ALA. (Bay Minette)	6-3-3	• • •		• • •
CALHOUN COUNTY, ALA. (Anniston)	6-3-3	• • •		
GADSDEN, ALA.	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
MARSHALL COUNTY, ALA. (Guntersville)	5-3-4 and 6-3-3	• • •		4
ruscaloosa, ala.	6-3-3	• • •		
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA	6-3-3		• • •	
ÆSA, ARIZ.	6-3-3	• • •		• • •
WASHINGTON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT, ARIZ. (Phoenix)	8(-4)			1
FORT SMITH, ARK.	6-3-3	• • •		
LITTLE ROCK, ARK., city schools	6-3-3		• • •	
NORTH LITTLE ROCK, ARK.	6-3-3	• • •	To K-5-3-4	
ABC SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (Artesia)	K-5-3-4	Unified in 1965-66		3
ALHAMBRA, CALIF.	K-8-4		To K-6-2-4	
NAHEIM, CALIFElemen- ary School District	K-6(-3-3)		• • •	• • •
AKERSFIELD, CALIFElemen- ary School District	K-8(-4)	• • •		• • •
BALDWIN PARK, CALIF.	K-5-3-4	From K-6-2-4 in 1966		3
BELLFLOWER, CALIF.	K-6-2-4	• • •		



School system	Predominant grade organization 2	Change since 1963-64 3	Change under consideration	Number of middle schools
	STRATUM 4 SCHOOL S	YSTEMS (Continued)		
BERKELEY, CALIF.	K-6-2-4	From K-6-3-3 in 1965	то к-3-3-2-4	• • •
BURBANK, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
CHULA VISTA, CALIF Elementary School District	K-6(+3-3)		• • •	
COMPTON, CALIF Elementary School District	K-6 (-3-3)		• • •	• • •
COMPTON, CALIF Union High School District	(K-6) -3-3			
CORONA, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
COVINA-VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (Covina)	K-6-2-4			
CUPERTINO, CALIF Elementary School District	K-6-2 (-4)		• • •	
DOWNEY, CALIF.	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
EAST WHITTIER ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (Whittier)	K-6-2 (-4)			• • •
FONTANA, CALIF.	к-6-3-3			
FULLERTON, CALIF Elementary School District	K-6-2 (-4)		To K-5-3(-4)	
FULLERTON, CALIF Union High School District	(K-6-2)-4			
GRANT JOINT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (Sacramento)	(K-6) -3-3			• • •
GROSSMONT UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (La Mesa)	(K-6-2)-4		District may unify in 1972	• • •
HUDSON ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (La Puente)	K-6-2 (-4)			
INGLEWOOD, CALIF.	K-6-2-4	• • •		
KERN COUNTY UNION HIGH SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (Bakersfield)	(K-8) -4		• • •	
LA MESA-SPRING VALLEY ELEMEN- TARY SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (La Mesa)	K-6-2 (-4)	• • •	District may unify in 1972	• • •
LOMPOC, CALIF.	к-6-2-4	• • •	то к=6-3-3	1



School system	Predominant grade organization	Change since 1963-64 3	Change under consideration	Number of middle schools
	STRATUM 4 SCHOOL S	SYSTEMS (Continued)		
MODESTO, CALIF.	K-6-2-4			• • •
MONTEREY PENINSULA SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (Monterey)	K-6-3-3			
NAPA VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (Napa)	K-6-3-3	Unified in 1965-66	• • •	• • •
ONTARIO-MONTCLAIR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (Ontario)	K-6-2 (-4)	• • •		• • •
ORANGE, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
PALO ALTO, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
PALOS VERDES PENINSULA SCHOOL DISTRICT, CALIF. (Rolling Hills) K-5-3-4	• • •		4
POMONA, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
SAN LORENZO, CALIF.	K-6-2-4	• • •		• • •
SAN MATEO, CALIFElementary School District	K-5-3(-4) and K-6-2(-4) (see col. 3)	Began move from K-6-2(-4) to K-5-3(-4) in 1965	See col. 3	1 (2 in 1969-70)
SAN MATEO, CALIF Union High School District	(K-5-3 and K-6-2)-4	• • •	• • •	• • •
SANTA BARBARA, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
SANTA CLARA, CALIF.	K-6-2-4	From K-5-3-4 in 1966	• • •	4
SANTA MONICA, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
SEQUOIA UNION HIGH SCHOOL DIS- TRICT, CALIF. (Redwood City)	(K-8)-4	• • •	• • •	• • •
SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	From no predom- inant plan in 1966	• • •	• • •
VALLEJO, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	From K-6-2-4 in 1965	To K-4-4-4 or K-5-3-4	• • •
VENTURA, CALIF.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
VISALIA, CALIF.	K-6-2-4	• • •	• • •	
WEST COVINA, CALIF.	K-5-3-4	From K-8-4 in 1964	• • •	5



School system	Predominant grade organization 2	Change since 1963-64 3	Change under consideration	Number of middle schools
	CORP. AMIRA A GARAGO			
	STRATUM 4 SCHOOL S	YSTEMS (Continued)		
WESTMINSTER, CALIF Elemen- tary School District	K-6-2 (-4)	• • •	• • •	• • •
WHITTIER, CALIF Union High School District	(K-6-2)-4		• • •	
AURORA, COLO.	K=6=3=3		To K~5-3-4	• • •
BOULDER VALLEY SCHOOL DIS- TRICT, COLO. (Boulder)	K=6=3=3	• • •		
LITTLETON, COLO.	K-6-3-3	• • •		1
MESA COUNTY VALLEY SCHOOL DISTRICT, COLO.	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
WESTMINSTER, COLO.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
MILFORD, CONN.	K-8-4	• • •	• • •	
NEW BRITAIN, CONN.	K-6-3-3	• • •	To K-8-4	
NEW HAVEN, CONN.	K-6-2-4 (See col. 3)	Began move from K-6-3-3 to K-4-4-4 in 1965	See col. 3	1
NORWALK, CONN.	K-6-3-3		• • •	2
STAMFORD, CONN.	K-6-3-3	• • •	To K-6-2-4	• • •
WATERBURY, CONN.	K-8-4	• • •	To K=5-3-4	• • •
WILMINGTON, DEL.	K-6-3-3	• • •	To K-5-3-4	
ALACHUA COUNTY, FLA. (Caincsville)	K=6=3=3	• • •	• • •	
BAY COUNTY, FLA. (Panama City)	6-3-3	• • •		• • •
EE COUNTY, FLA. (Ft. Myers)	6-3-3	• • •		• • •
EON COUNTY, FLA. (Tallahassee)	K -6-3-3	• • •		
MANATEE COUNTY, FLA. Bradenton)	K-6-3-3	• • •	To K-5-3-4	
KALOOSA COUNTY, FLA. Crestview)	K=6-3-3	• • •		
ARASOTA COUNTY, FLA. Sarasota)	6-3-3	• • •		
EMINOLE COUNTY, FLA. (Sanford)	6-3-3	• • •	To K-5-3-4 in 1969-70	
LAYTON COUNTY, GA. (Jonesboro)	6-3-3	From 6-2-4		



And the second s

School system	Predominant grade organization	Change since 1963-64	Change under consideration	Number of middle schools
1	2	3	4	5
	STRATUM 4 SCHOOL S	YSTEMS (Continued)		
DOUGHERTY COUNTY, GA. (Albany)	6-3-3			• • •
GLYNN COUNTY, GA. (Brunswick)	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
BOISE, IDAHO	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
ALTON, ILL.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
CHAMPAIGN, ILL.	к-6-3-3	• • •	To K-5-3-4	• • •
DECATUR, ILL.	K-6-2-4	• • •	• • •	1
EAST ST. LOUIS, ILL.	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
ELGIN, ILL.	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
RANITE CITY, ILL.	к-6-3-3	• • •	To K-6-2-4	
SPRINGFIELD, ILL.	K-5-3-4	From K-6-3-3 in 1968	• • •	5
ANDERSON, IND.	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
ARTHOLOMEW SCHOOL CORPORA- TION, IND. (Columbus)	K-6-3-3	• • •		
LKHART, IND.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	· • • •
AMMOND, IND.	K-5-3-4	From no pre- dominant plan in 1965	. • • •	2 (2 more soon)
OKOMO-CENTER SCHOOL CORPORA- ION, IND. (Kokomo)	K-5-3-4	From K-6-3-3 in 1968	• • •	7
ICHIGAN CITY, IND.	K-6-3··3	• • •	• • •	• • •
UNCIE, IND.	K-6-3-3	• • •	To K-5-3-4	• • •
IGO COUNTY, IND. (Terre Haute)	K-6-3-3	• • •		
ASHINGTON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL ISTRICT, IND. (Indianapolis)	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
EDAR RAPIDS, IOWA	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
OUNCIL BLUFFS, IOWA	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
AVENPORT, IOWA	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
IOUX CITY, IOWA	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
ATERLOO, IOWA	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •



School system	Predominant grade organization 2	Change since 1963-64 3	Change under consideration	Number of middle schools
				5
••	STRATUM 4 SCHOOL S	YSTEMS (Continued)	3 .	
SHAWNEE MISSION, KANS High School District	(6) -3-3			
TOPEKA, KANS.	к-6-3-3		• • •	• • •
BOSSIER PARISH, LA. (Benton)	6-2-4	From 6-3-3 and 8-4	• • •	• • •
LAFAYETTE PARISH, LA. (Lafayette)	8-4		• • •	3
LAFOURCHE PARISH, LA. (Thibodaux)	6-3-3	From 6-6 in 1965	• • •	• • •
OUACHITA PARISH, LA. (Monroe)	6-2-4			• • •
ST. TAMMANY PARISH, LA. (Covington)	5-3-4 and 6-3-3 (See col. 3)	Began move from 6-3-3 to 5-3-4	See col. 3	3
PORTLAND, MAINE	K-6-3-3			
ALLEGHANY COUNTY, MD. (Cumberland)	6-2-4 and 6-6	Began move from 6-6 to 6-2-4	See col. 3	• • •
CARROLL COUNTY, MD. (Westminster)	6-3-3		To K-4-4-4	5
FREDERICK COUNTY, MD. (Frederick)	6-6		To 5-3-4	• • •
HARFORD COUNTY, MD. (Bel Air)	K-6-2-4	• • •	To K-5-3-4	1
BROCKTON, MASS.	6-3-3		• • • •	• • •
CHICOPEE, MASS.	к-8-4	Began move to K-4-4-4	See col. 3	• • •
FALL RIVER, MASS.	K-4-4-4	From K-6-3-3 and K-8-4 in 1968	• • •	3
LOWELL, MASS.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
LYNN, MASS.	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
NEW BEDFORD, MASS.	K-6-3-3	• • •		
NEWTON CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, MASS. (Newtonville)	к-6-3-3			• • •
QUINCY, MASS.	K-6-3-3	• • •	To K-5-3-4	



School system	Predominant grade organization 2	Change since 1963-64 3	Change under consideration	Number of middle schools
1				
	STRATUM 4 SCHOOL S	YSTEMS (Continued)		
OMERVILLE, MASS.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
EYMOUTH, MASS	6-3-3	• • •		• • •
NN ARBOR, MICH.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
MAY CITY, MICH.	K-5-3-4	• • •	• • •	5
BIRMINGHAM, MICH.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
DEARBORN, MICH.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
EAST DETROIT, MICH.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
FARMINGTON, MICH.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
GARDEN CITY, MICH.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
GROSSE POINTE, MICH.	K-5-3-4	From K-6-3-3 in 1968	• • •	3
JACKSON, MICH.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
KALAMAZOO, MICH.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
LINCOLN PARK, MICH.	K-6-3-3		• • •	• • •
PONTIAC, MICH.	K-6-3-3	• • •	To K-5-3-4 in 1971-72	• • •
PORT HURON, MICH.	K-5-3-4	• • •	• • •	6
ROSEVILLE, MICH.	к-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
ROYAL OAK, MICH.	K-6-2-4	• • •	• • •	• • •
SAGINAW, MICH.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
SOUTHFIELD, MICH.	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
UTICA, MICH.	K=6-3-3		To middle schools	• • •
WARREN, MICH.	K-6-2-4	From K-6-3-3 in 1968	• • •	• • •
WATERFORD TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT, MICH. (Pontiac)	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
WAYNE, MICH.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
ANOKA-HENNEPIN SCHOOL DIS- TRICT, MINN. (Anoka)	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
BLOOMINGTON, MINN.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
DULUTH, MINN.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •

School system	Predominant grade organization 2	Change since 1963-64	Change under consideration	Number of middle schools
		<u> </u>		
	STRATUM 4 SCHOOL S	YSTEMS (Continued)		
GREENVILLE, MISS.	6-3-3			
HINDS COUNTY, MISS. (Jackson)	6-6			• • •
FERGUSON-FLORISSANT SCHOOL DISTRICT, MO. (Ferguson)	K=6=3=3	• • •	To K-5-3-4	
HAZELWOOD, MO.	к-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
HICKMAN MILLS, MO.	K -6-3-3	• • •		• • •
INDEPENDENCE, MO.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
NORTH KANSAS CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, MO. (Kansas City)	K=6=3=3	• • •		• • •
RAYTOWN, MO.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
RITENOUR SCHOOL DISTRICT, MO. (Overland)	K-6-3-3			
ST. JOSEPH, MO.	K-8-4	• • •	• • •	• • •
SPRINGFIELD, MO.	K-6-2-4	• • •		
BILLINGS, MONT.	6-3-3	• • •		• • •
GREAT FALLS, MONT.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
MANCHESTER, N. H.	K-6-2-4	From K-8-4 in 1967	• • •	• • •
CAMDEN, N. J.	K-6-3-3	• • •	To K=5-3-4	1
CHERRY HILL TOWNSHIP, N. J. (Cherry Hill)	K=6=2=4		• • •	
CLIFTON, N. J.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
EDISON TOWNSHIP, N. J. (Edison)	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
HAMILTON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DIS- TRICT, N. J. (Trenton)	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
MIDDLETOWN TOWNSHIP, N. J. (Middletown)	K-6-3-3	From K-6-2-4 in 1966	• • •	• • •
PATERSON, N. J.	K-8-4		To K-5-3-4	
LAS CRUCES, N. MEX.	6=3-3			
ALBANY, N. Y.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
BINGHAMTON, N. Y.	K=6=3=3	• • •		



School system	Predominant grade organization	Change since 1963-64	Change under consideration	Number of middle schools
1	2	3	4	5
	STRATUM 4 SCHOOL S	YSTEMS (Continued)		
BRENTWOOD, N. Y.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
COMMACK, N. Y.	K-6-3-3	• • •		
EAST MEADOW, N. Y.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
ELMIRA, N. Y.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
FARMINGDALE, N. Y.	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
KENMORE, N. Y.	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
LEVITTOWN, N. Y.	к-6-3⊷3	• • •	• • •	• • •
MASSAPEQUA, N. Y.	K-6-2-4	From K-6-3-3 in 1966	• • •	• • •
NEW ROCHELLE, N. Y.	K-6-3-3		To K-5-3-4	
NIAGARA FALLS, N. Y.	к-6-3-3	• • •		. • • •
NORTH SYRACUSE, N. Y.	K-5-3-4	From K-6-3-3 in 1968	• • •	2
SCHENECTADY, N. Y.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
ALAMANCE COUNTY, N. C. (Graham)	8-4	• • •	To 5-3-4	4
BUNCOMBE COUNTY, N. C. (Asheville)	8-4	• • •	To 6-3-3	
DAVIDSON COUNTY, N. C. (Lexington)	8-4			
DURHAM, N. C., city schools	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
DURHAM COUNTY, N. C. (Durham)	6-3-3	From 8-4 in 1968	• • •	• • •
FAYETTEVILLE, N. C., city schools	6-3-3			
GASTON COUNTY, N. C. (Gastonia)	6-3-3 and 8-4	• • •	To 6-3-3 system-wide	• • •
GUILFORD COUNTY, N. C. (Greensboro)	к-8-4	• • •	To K-6-3-3 or K-6-6	
HARNETT COUNTY, N. C. (Lillington)	8-4	• • •		
HIGH POINT, N. C.	6-3-3	• • •		• • •
NASH COUNTY, N. C. (Nashville)	8-4		To 6-3-3	



School system	Predominant grade organization	Change since 1963-64	Change under consideration	Number of middle schools
<u>I</u>	۷	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
	STRATUM 4 SCHOOL S	SYSTEMS (Continued)		
ONSLOW COUNTY, N. C. (Jacksonville)	6-3-3	From 8-4 in 1965	• • •	• • •
PITT COUNTY, N. C. (Greenville)	No predominant plan		To 5-3-4	
RALEIGH, N. C., city schools	6-3-3			
ROWAN COUNTY, N. C. (Salisbury)	6-3-3, 8-4, and 6-2-4		To 6-3-3 system-wide	1.
WAKE COUNTY, N. C. (Raleigh)	8-4		To 6-3-3	
WAYNE COUNTY, N. C. (Goldsboro	6-2-4 and 8-4		To 6-3-3	1
BEREA, OHIO	K-6-3-3			
CANTON, OHIO	K-6-2-4		To K-6-3-3	
CLEVELAND HEIGHTS-UNIVERSITY HEIGHTS SCHOOL DISTRICT, OHIO (Cleveland)	K-6-3-3			
ELYRIA, OHIO	K-6-3-3	From K=8-4 in 1964	• • •	
HAMILTON, OHIO	K-6-3-3			
KETTERING, OHIO	K-6-3-3			
LORAIN, OHIO	K-6-2-4		• • •	
MANSFIELD, OHIO	K-6-3-3			
MIDDLETOWN, OHIO	K-6-3-3		To K-5-3-4	1
SOUTH-WESTERN (FRANKLIN) SCHOOL DISTRICT, OHIO (Grove City)	к-6-3-3	From K-8-4 in 1964	то к-5-3-4	• • •
SPRINGFIELD, OHIO	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
WARREN, OHIO	K-6-2-4	From K-6-3-3 in 1966		
WILLOUGHBY-EASTLAKE SCHOOL DISTRICT, OHIO (Willoughby)	6-3- 3			
LAWTON, OKLA.	6-3-3			

School system 1	Predominant grade organization 2	Change since 1963-64 3	Change under consideration	Number of middle schools
		SYSTEMS (Continued)		
MIDWEST CITY, OKLA.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
PUTNAM CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT, OKLA. (Oklahoma City)	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
BEAVERTON, OREG.	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
EUGENE, OREG.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
SALEM, OREG.	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
ABINGTON, PA.	K-6-2-2-2	From K-6-3-3 in 1964	• • •	• • •
ALLENTOWN, PA.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
ALTOONA, PA.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
BETHLEHEM, PA.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
BRISTOL, PA.	K-6-2-2-2	From 6-3-3 in 1965	To K-5-3-2-2	• • •
CENTRAL DAUPHIN SCHOOL DIS- TRICT, PA. (Harrisburg)	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
ERIE, PA.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
NESHAMINY SCHOOL DISTRICT, PA. (Langhorne)	K-6-3-3	• • •	To K-5-3-4	• • •
PENN HILLS SCHOOL DISTRICT, PA. (Pittsburgh)	6-2-2-2	From 6-3-3 in 1968	• • •	• • •
PENNSBURY SCHOOL DISTRICT, PA. (Fallsington)	K-6-2-2-2	• • •	• • •	
READING, PA.	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	
SCRANTON, PA.	K-6-4-2	• • •	• • •	• • •
CRANSTON, R. I.	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
WARWICK, R. I.	K-6-2-4	• • •	• • •	• • •
ANDERSON, S. C.	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	1
BERKELEY COUNTY, S. C. (Moncks Corner)	6 - 6			• • •
FLORENCE, S. C.	6-3-3	• • •	To 5-3-4	• • •
HORRY COUNTY, S. C. (Conway)	6-6			• • •
PICKENS COUNTY, N. C. (Picken	•	• • •	• • •	• • •

School system	Predominant grade organization 2	Change since 1963-64 3	Change under consideration	Number of middle schools
	STRATUM 4 SCHOOL S	SYSTEMS (Continued)		
WILLIAMSBURG COUNTY, S. C. (Kingstree)	K-6-6			
RAPID CITY, S. DAK.	к-6-3-3			
CLARKSVILLE-MONTGOMERY COUNTY,	6 2 2			
TENN. (Clarksville) KNOX COUNTY. TENN. (Knoxville)	6-3-3 8-4		 То 5-3-4	
ABILENE, TEXAS	6-3-3	• • •		· · ·
ALDINE SCHOOL DISTRICT, TEXAS (Houston)	K-5-3-4	From K-6-3-3 in 1965	• • •	4
ARLINGTON, TEXAS	6-3-3		To 6-2-4	
BIRDVILLE SCHOOL DISTRICT, TEXAS (Fort Worth)	6-3- 3		• • •	• • •
GALVESTON, TEXAS	K-6~3-3		To K-5-3-4	
GARLAND, TEXAS	6-3-3			• • •
GOOSE CREEK SCHOOL DISTRICT, TEXAS (Baytown)	5-3-4	From 6-3-3 in 1966	• • •	4
HARLANDALE SCHOOL DISTRICT, TEXAS (San Antonio)	6-3-3			1
MESQUITE, TEXAS	6-3-3	• • •	To 6-2-4	
MIDLAND, TEXAS	6-2-1-3	From no predom- inant plan in 1968	• • •	• • •
NORTH EAST SCHOOL DISTRICT, TEXAS (San Antonio)	6-2-4	From 6-3-3 in 1965	To 5-3-4	
NORTHSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT, TEXAS (San Antonio)	6-2-4			
PORT ARTHUR, TEXAS	к-6-3-3		• • •	1
TYLER, TEXAS	K-6-3-3		To K-5-3-4	• • •
WACO, TEXAS	6-3- 3	• • •	• • •	• • •
WICHITA FALLS, TEXAS	6-2-4	• • •		• • •
ALPINE SCHOOL DISTRICT, UTAH (American Fork)	K-6-3-3	• • •		2

School system	Predominant grade organization	Change since 1963-64		Number of middle schools
1	2	3	4	5
	STRATUM 4 SCHOOL S	SYSTEMS (Continued)		
OGDEN, UTAH, city schools	K-6-3-3	• • •		• • •
ALEXANDRIA, VA.	K-6-2-4	From 7-5 in 1965	• • •	• • •
CHESTERFIELD COUNTY, VA. (Chesterfield)	K-6-3-3	From 6-2-4 in 1965	• • •	• • •
LYNCHBURG, VA.	K-6-3-3	From 8-4 in 1965	• • •	• • •
PITTSYLVANIA COUNTY, VA. (Chatham)	7-5	• • •	• • •	• • •
PORTSMOUTH, VA.	K-6-2-4		• • •	2
PRINCE WILLIAM COUNTY, VA. (Manassas)	5-3-4	From 6-3-3 in 1965	• • •	6
ROANOKE, VA., city schools	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
ROANOKE COUNTY, VA. (Salem)	7-5	• • •	• • •	• • •
BELLEVUE, WASH.	. к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
CLOVER PARK SCHOOL DISTRICT, WASH. (Lakewood Center)	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
EVERETT, WASH.	K-6-3-3	• • •	To K-5-3-4 in 1970	• • •
RENTON, WASH.	K-6-3-3	• • •	To K-5-3-4	• • •
SHORELINE SCHOOL DISTRICT, VASH. (Seattle)	K-6-3-3	• • •	To K-5-3-4 by 1972	None at pre- sent. Five by 1972
ANCOUVER, WASH.	к-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
AKIMA, WASH.	K-6-3-3			• • •
ABELL COUNTY, W. VA. Huntington)	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
AYETTE COUNTY, W. VA. Fayetteville)	8-4	• • •		• • •
ARRISON COUNTY, W. VA. Clarksburg)	6-3-3			
OGAN COUNTY, W. VA. (Logan)	6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
ARION COUNTY, W. VA. (Fairmont)	6-6 and 6-2-4	• • •	To 5-3-4	1



School systems	Predominant grade organization	Change since 1963-64	Change under consideration	Number of middle schools
1	2	3	4	5
	STRATUM 4 SCHOOL S	YSTEMS (Continued)		
RALEIGH COUNTY, W. VA. (Beckley)	K-6-3-3	• • •		1
GREEN BAY, WIS.	K-6-3-3		• • •	
WEST ALLIS, WIS.	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	• • •
CASPER-MIDWEST SCHOOL DIS- TRICT, WYO. (Casper)	K-6-3-3			• • •
CHEYENNE, WYOMING	K-6-3-3	• • •	• • •	

5-3-4	6-2-4	6-6	7-5
6-3-3	7-2-3	8-4	
How long has th	nis plan been in e	ffect?	years.
Change contempl	lated? If so	, to what pl	an?
Does your syste			
diate schools	one or more grade	more elemer s usually ir	tary grades below the junior high
diate schools grade 7 with school)?	one or more grade	more elemer s usually ir	tary grades below the junior high
diate schools grade 7 with school)? School system	one or more grade	more elemer s usually ir	tary grades below the junior high
diate schools grade 7 with	one or more grade	more elemer s usually in	tary grades below the junior high

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION & WELFARI OFFICE OF EDUCATION

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN REPRODUCED EXACTLY AS RECEIVED FROM THE PERSON OR ORGANIZATION ORIGINATING IT. POINTS OF VIEW OR OPINIONS STATED DO NOT NECESSARILY REPRESENT OFFICIAL OFFICE OF EDUCATION POSITION OR POLICY.

